AO 472 (Rev. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending Trial	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
PRIZE 250f NEBRAS	SKA
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
v. OFFICE OF THE CLERKORDER OF DETENTION H	PENDING TRIAL
THOMAS DEWAYNE ROSS Case 4:09CR3007	
Defendant	
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.	ie following facts require the
Part I—Findings of Fact (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a	☐ federal offense ☐ state
or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).	
an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death. an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in	
an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years of more is prescribed in	
a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses	described in 18 U.S.C.
§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.	larel state or local offense
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a fed (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defend for the offense described in finding (1).	
(4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of condition	ons will reasonably assure the
safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presure	mption.
Alternative Findings (A)	
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense X for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or 21 U.S.C. Sec. 8 under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	301 et seq.
(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of continuous appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.	nditions will reasonably assure
Alternative Findings (B)	
 (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear. (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community. 	
	
Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention	
I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convinc	ing evidence \(\sime \) a prepon-
derance of the evidence that	
Det is in custody at NDCS and wa	und detente
rig It This thu	
Doug III Dimagiana Dana Bang Data din	
Part III—Directions Regarding Detention The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in	a corrections facility separate.
to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a	
reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for	
in connection with a court proceeding.	
$= 30 \qquad (1) \cdot (1) \cup (1) =$	-
2-5-07 Mul X. Truto	ـــ
Date Signature of Judicial Officer	
David L. Piester, U.S. Magistrate Judg Name and Title of Judicial Officer	<u> </u>

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).